

REMARKS

Claims 22, 24-29, 31-36 and 38-42 are pending. Claims 22, 24, 27-29, 31, 34-36, 38, 41-42 stand rejected, and claims 25-26, 32-33, and 39-40 stand as objected to claims. In response, claims 22, 26, 29, 33, 36, and 40 are amended, and claims 24-25, 31-32, and 38-39 are cancelled. While Applicant respectfully disagrees that Park anticipates the above rejected claims, Applicant has made the foregoing claim amendments/cancellations in order to expedite prosecution. Claims 22, 26-29, 33-36, and 40-42 remain pending upon entry of this amendment.

Claims 22 and 26-28

The Examiner stated that claims 25-26 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicant has amended claim 22 to recite all of the limitations of former claim 25 in independent form (including the limitations of intervening claim 24), and has amended claim 26 to depend from amended claim 22. Applicant has accordingly cancelled claims 24-25. Claims 22 and 26 are thus in condition for allowance. Dependent claims 27-28 incorporate the limitations of claim 22, and are thus also in condition for allowance for at least the same reasons as claim 22.

Claims 29 and 33-35

The Examiner stated that claims 32-33 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicant has amended claim 29 to recite all of the limitations of claim 32 in independent form (including the limitations of intervening claim 31), and has amended claim 33 to depend from amended claim 29. Applicant has accordingly cancelled claims 31-32. Claims 29 and 33

are thus in condition for allowance. Dependent claims 34-35 incorporate the limitations of claim 29, and are thus also in condition for allowance for at least the same reasons as claim 29.

Claims 36 and 40-42

The Examiner stated that claims 39-40 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicant has amended claim 36 to recite all of the limitations of former claim 39 in independent form (including the limitations of intervening claim 38), and has amended claim 40 to depend from amended claim 36. Applicant has accordingly cancelled claims 38-39. Claims 36 and 40 are thus in condition for allowance. Dependent claims 41-42 incorporate the limitations of claim 36, and are thus also in condition for allowance for at least the same reasons as claim 36.

For at least the reasons stated above, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 22, 26-29, 33-36, and 40-42 are all in condition for allowance. Issuance of the Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned to advance the prosecution of this case.

Respectfully submitted,
VINCENT ALAN LARSEN

Dated: February 28, 2007

By: /Pierre Keeley/
Pierre Keeley, Reg. No. 57,045
Attorney for Applicant
Fenwick & West LLP
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
Tel.: (650) 335-7242
Fax: (650) 938-5200